1 2 3	THE CIVIL RIGHTS GROUP, LLC Thomas R. Kayes (SBN 327020) 2045 W Grand Ave, Ste B, PMB 62448 Chicago, IL 60612 t. 708.722.2241			
4	tom@civilrightsgroup.com www.civilrightsgroup.com			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
7	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
8	PREETI DOE,¹	Case No. 23-cv-10061		
9	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND		
11	VS.			
12	ROCHELLE STERLING in her capacity as sole trustee of THE			
13	STERLING FAMILY TRUST; THE STERLING FAMILY TRUST;			
14	BEVERLY HILLS PROPERTIES, LLC; and JAMES SHIN,			
15				
16	Defendants.			
17				
18 19	Introduction			
20	Preeti has no last name. <i>See</i> infra ¶ 7. COMPL. & JURY DEMAND - 1			

- 1. This is a housing harassment case.
- 2. The Sterling Family Trust owns an apartment building called the Wilshire Berendo Towers. Beverly Hills Properties manages the building. James Shin was the property manager. Shin sexually harassed Preeti, then a tenant, who now sues to recover for her injuries.

#### **Jurisdiction & Venue**

- 3. This court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this case under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because of Preeti's federal Fair Housing Act claim.
- 4. This court has subject-matter jurisdiction over Preeti's remaining claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because they arise from the same facts as her federal claim.
- 5. Venue is proper in this judicial district because the relevant events occurred in Los Angeles County and the individual parties reside in Los Angeles County.

## **Parties**

- 6. **Plaintiff Preeti** is a person living in Los Angeles, CA.
- 7. Preeti has no last name. Preeti is originally from Sirsa, a city in the Indian state of Haryana. There, some young women do not take their parents' last names, instead going without until they marry.

- **Defendant Rochelle H. Sterling** is a person living in California. She is the sole trustee of the Sterling Family Trust, dated August 13, 1998, as re-stated on December 18, 2013 ("Sterling Family Trust"). Sterling is sued solely in her
  - **Defendant Sterling Family Trust** is a California trust.
- **Defendant Beverly Hills Properties, LLC**, is a California limited-
  - **Defendant James Shin** is an individual living in California.

## **Allegations**

## The Wilshire Berendo Towers

- The Wilshire Berendo Towers is a residential apartment building.
- It is located at 330 South Berendo Street in Los Angeles.
- It has about 80 rental units.
- At all relevant times, the Sterling Family Trust has owned the
  - Beverly Hills Properties is a property management company.
- At all relevant times, the Sterling Family Trust has had Beverly Hills Properties manage the Wilshire Berendo Towers.
  - 18. James Shin works for Beverly Hills Properties.

19. At all relevant times, Beverly Hills Properties employed Shin as a property manager at the Wilshire Berendo Towers.

COMPL. & JURY DEMAND - 4

Tenant Complaints About Shin

- 20. On February 1, 2023, a woman left an online review of the Wilshire Berendo Towers, complaining about James Shin.
- 21. Before leaving that online review, the woman had called and left messages for Beverly Hills Properties complaining about Shin.
- 22. The woman later updated her online review, adding that Shin had called her a "Spicy Latina."
- 23. On April 11, 2023, another woman complained to the Los Angeles
  Housing Department about Shin's aggressive behavior toward her and her family.
- 24. On May 15, 2023, another person left an online review of the Wilshire Berendo Towers also complaining about Shin.
- 25. On information and belief, Beverly Hills Properties was aware of these or similar complaints about Shin's behavior toward tenants and, in particular, female tenants.
- 26. On information and belief, Beverly Hills Properties, chose to do nothing to train or discipline Shin with respect to his treatment of tenants, including and in particular female tenants.
- 27. Beverly Hills Properties had good reason to attend to tenant complaints, having settled a sweeping housing discrimination case with the United States of America years before.

COMPL. & JURY DEMAND - 5

28. As part of that settlement, Beverly Hills Properties was required to implement various anti-discrimination trainings and programs and pay over \$2 million.

#### Preeti Comes To The Wilshire Berendo Towers

- 29. After moving from her hometown in India to Los Angeles to attend college, Preeti and a friend rented an apartment at the Wilshire Berendo Towers.
- 30. Preeti and her roommate signed their lease on or around March 8, 2023.
- 31. At one of their first meetings, Shin told Preeti that if he was younger, he would date her. He also complimented her appearance.

#### Shin Tricks Preeti

- 32. After Preeti moved into the Wilshire Berendo Towers, she started seeing Shin when she left and returned to the building through the main door.
  - 33. Shin's office in the building was near the main door.
- 34. When he saw her, Shin would ask Preeti for hugs and ask her to go on walks with him.
  - 35. After saying no many times, Preeti began to feel bad.
- 36. After Shin made it sound as though his wife would accompany them, Preeti agreed to meet Shin later to go on a walk.

	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	C
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	′
1	8
1	9
2	C

2

75. She told her friends that Shin had tried to kiss her and that he had touched her body.

#### Preeti Finds Shin At Her Door

- 76. A few days later, Preeti went to Shin's office again, this time with a friend, to try to pay her back rent. Shin would not accept it.
  - 77. Shortly after, Preeti was alone in her apartment.
- 78. Preeti opened her apartment door, which opened into a common hallway, to head out.
  - 79. As soon as she opened the door, she saw Shin.
- 80. Shin was standing at her door with a key in his hand and his hand extended toward her door.
  - 81. Preeti was terrified.
- 82. Shin demanded to know why Preeti had brought her friend with her to try to pay the rent earlier. He instructed her, "Tomorrow you come alone."

# Preeti Leaves The Building

- 83. Because of Shin's harassment, Preeti left the Wilshire Berendo Towers and, with the help of her friends, found a lawyer.
- 84. Shin's harassment has caused Preeti mental and emotional pain and suffering that is reasonably likely to continue into the foreseeable future. She has

developed trouble sleeping and has had headaches. And her social life and 1 schoolwork have suffered. 2 **Claims for Relief** 3 4 Count 1 – Federal Fair Housing Act 5 Against All Defendants 85. Preeti incorporates all other allegations here. 6 Defendants injured Preeti by committing discriminatory housing 7 86. practices in violation of the federal Fair Housing Act, including: 8 9 a. Quid pro quo harassment based on sex, 42 U.S.C. § 3604(b), 42 U.S.C. § 3604(c), 24 C.F.R. § 100.600(a)(1); 10 11 b. Hostile environment harassment based on sex, 42 U.S.C. § 3604(b), 12 42 U.S.C. § 3604(c), 24 C.F.R. § 100.600(a)(2); and c. Discriminatory statements indicating an intention to discriminate 13 based on sex and a preference based on sex, 42 U.S.C. § 3604(c). 14 Preeti is therefore entitled to compensatory damages, punitive 15 87. 16 damages, declaratory relief, attorneys' fees and costs. 42 U.S.C. § 3613(c). 17 88. Each Defendant is directly liable or vicariously liable for the 18 discriminatory housing practices alleged here. Meyer v. Holley, 537 U.S. 280, 285 (2003), 24 C.F.R. § 100.7. 19 20

COMPL. & JURY DEMAND - 11

Count 2 – California Fair Employment and Housing Act 1 Against All Defendants 2 3 89. Preeti incorporates all other allegations here. Defendants injured Preeti by committing unlawful housing practices 4 90. 5 in violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, Cal. Gov. Code § 12955; Cal. Gov. Code § 12955.6. 6 Preeti is therefore entitled to compensatory damages, punitive 7 91. damages, declaratory relief, attorneys' fees and costs. Cal. Gov. Code § 12989.1; 8 9 Cal. Gov. Code § 12989.2. 92. Each Defendant is directly liable or vicariously liable for the unlawful 10 11 housing practices alleged. 12 Count 3 - Negligence Against All Defendants Except Shin 13 93. Preeti incorporates all other allegations here. 14 Each Defendant other than Shin owed a duty to hire, train, supervise, 15 94. 16 and discipline its property management staff, including Shin, to prevent 17 mistreatment of tenants, including sexual harassment. 18 95. Each Defendant other than Shin breached at least one of those duties, causing Shin's harassment of Preeti. 19 20 96. Those breaches caused Preeti's injuries, as alleged above. COMPL. & JURY DEMAND - 12

1	97.	Preeti is therefore entitled to compensatory and punitive damages.	
2	<u>Count 4</u> – Assault		
3		Against All Defendants	
4	98.	Preeti incorporates all other allegations here.	
5	99.	Shin repeatedly put Preeti in imminent fear of an offensive,	
6	unreasonable, unconsented, and unpermitted touching.		
7	100.	Each Defendant is directly liable or vicariously liable for that conduc	
8	101.	That conduct injured Preeti.	
9	102.	Preeti is therefore entitled to compensatory and punitive damages.	
10		<u>Count 5</u> – Battery	
11	Against All Defendants		
12	103.	Preeti incorporates all other allegations here.	
13	104.	Shin battered Preeti by repeatedly making offensive and harmful	
14	contact with her, he intended to do so, and she did not consent nor was the contact		
15	permitted.		
16	105.	Each Defendant is directly liable or vicariously liable for that conduc	
17	106.	That conduct injured Preeti.	
18	107.	Preeti is therefore entitled to compensatory and punitive damages.	
19			
20			
	COMPL. & JURY DEMAND - 13		

<u>Count 6</u> – False Imprisonment 1 Against All Defendants 2 108. Preeti incorporates all other allegations here. 3 Shin used his body, manner, and physical surroundings to prevent 4 109. 5 Preeti from moving freely without lawful authority or Preeti's consent when he twice locked her in his office. 6 110. Each Defendant is directly liable or vicariously liable for that conduct. 7 That conduct injured Preeti. 8 111. 9 Preeti is therefore entitled to compensatory and punitive damages. 112. Count 7 – Unruh Civil Rights Act 10 11 Against All Defendants 12 113. Preeti incorporates all other allegations here. The Unruh Civil Rights prohibits discrimination based on sex in the 13 residential rental property business. Cal. Civ. Code § 51. 14 115. Whoever discriminates in violation of the Act is liable for up to treble 15 damages. Cal. Civ. Code. § 52(a). 16 116. Shin discriminated based on sex within the meaning of the Unruh Act 17 18 by sexually harassing Preeti. The other Defendants are vicariously liable for Shin's conduct. 19 20 118. Each Defendant is therefore liable under the Act to Preeti. COMPL. & JURY DEMAND - 14

Count 8 – Cal. Civ. Code § 51.9 1 Against All Defendants 2 119. Preeti incorporates all other allegations here. 3 120. Cal. Civ. Code § 51.9 prohibits sexual harassment by landlords and 4 5 property managers. Whoever violates § 51.9 is liable for damages, exemplary damages, 6 attorneys' fees and a civil penalty of \$25,000. Cal. Civ. Code. § 52(b). 7 Shin sexually harassed Preeti in violation of § 51.9. 8 The other Defendants are vicariously liable for Shin's conduct. 9 123. 124. Each Defendant is therefore liable under the Act to Preeti. 10 11 **Jury Demand** 12 Preeti demands a jury trial under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 13 **Prayer for Relief** 14 125. Preeti prays for a judgment with the following relief: 15 16 a. Compensatory damages, b. Punitive damages, 17 18 c. Attorneys' fees and costs, 19 d. Pre- and post- judgment interest, 20 e. Declaratory relief, and COMPL. & JURY DEMAND - 15

All other relief that the court finds just. Dated: November 29, 2023 Respectfully submitted, /s/ Thomas R. Kayes Thomas R. Kayes THE CIVIL RIGHTS GROUP, LLC 2045 W Grand Ave, Ste B, PMB 62448 Chicago, IL 60612 t. 708.722.2241 tom@civilrightsgroup.com www.civilrightsgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff COMPL. & JURY DEMAND - 16